



Boycott MUFON. Stop David Jacobs.

Alright, this has to stop. MUFON LA is promoting a speaking engagement with David Jacobs. The title of his lecture--tellingly enough--is, "Abductees and their Involuntary Tasks." This is set to take place on Tuesday the 15th. Read all about it here:

<http://www.mufonla.com/meetings-mufonla/>

Now, Steve Murillo is the section director for MUFON LA. He knows all about the Emma Woods case. In fact, she tried to warn him about Jacobs when he was previously scheduled to give a lecture entitled, "Hybrids: New Research into the Integration Program."

She gave him a brief description of what had taken place between her, Jacobs, and Elizabeth along with links to her website and audio backing up her claims, to which he responded in email:

Emma,

Your website has a ton of information to go through. It's going to take a while to go through it. Suffice it to say that your remarks here regarding Dr. Jacobs are duly noted. Forgive me if I seem obtuse, but are you a hybrid as well?

*Steve Murillo
State Section Director*

MUFON LA

Clearly, this man is not a serious researcher and does not care. So who do we turn to? Is anyone above Steve Murillo? Do *they* care? Well, I wrote about him in the Woods/Jacobs cover story for **UFO Magazine** and he's still got his job, so draw your own conclusion there.

What can we do, then?

~~I urge everyone to call or write to the Unitarian Church in which this is supposed to take place. Let them know who the man is they are welcoming into their fold.~~

~~That phone number is: (818) 769 5911
Their email address is: info@uustudiocity.org~~

~~Send them a link to Emma's website: [h](#)~~

~~Tell them that this was the big shocker of the year in ufology and although they may be unfamiliar with the issue, it is unacceptable that this man be given a platform in their church!~~

I wrote the church and a rep got back to me stating that they don't care who MUFON brings in to speak, to take it up with MUFON. Of course it's hard to do that given who is State Section Director. But you know what? Write to him anyway and tell him it's unacceptable to put friendship first in this case: contact@mufonla.com

Update on 11.13.2011 by [Alfred Lehmborg](#)



Update on 11.13.2011 by [Alfred Lehmborg](#)

Update on 11.14.2011 by [Alfred Lehmborg](#)

Q -- WHAT'S SCARIER THAN ALIEN HYBRIDS?

A -- AN EMPOWERED AND KNOWLEDGEABLE AUDIENCE!

Sorry, the Wayback Machine does not have this video archived.

Posted on 11.10.2011 by [Jeremy Vaeni](#) | [39 Comments](#)

[Reader Comments \(39\)](#)

With regard to David Jacobs let me ask something... and I ask only because I once held David Jacobs and the late Budd Hopkins in the *highest* esteem and *would* so hold them again...

Far removed from acquired "undergarments" and charged "sex accouterments" or even the arguable value of Jacobs' research, why is it **forgivable** and **acceptable** that Jacobs suggest to a trusting woman in his charge, a woman expecting best practice from a world class researcher suggested to her by an accredited mental health professional... that she has, to wit: a dangerous mental dysfunction requiring drugs and medical attention... when, in my understanding, he *admittedly* and on *record* knew that she was not so affected?

All protestations from the Jacobs camp about "doctored tapes" taken out of context and "predatory stalkers" with "personality disorders" are seemingly not borne out in any way, and the facts are admitted by Jacobs even as they were whitewashed by Mr. Hopkins (also dismissing John Mack in print and on the radio with the faintest praise... as a clueless naive) before his death.

What are *the reader's* thoughts on the subject as it pertains to the matter regarding the ethical treatment of research subjects by paranormal investigators, and how that treatment may impact on the flavor or quality of the aggregate research... et al?

Seriously... why is this seemingly unmistakable malfeasance, seemingly OK?

November 10, 2011 | [Lehmberg](#)

Steve Murillo, State Section Director of California MUFON: "Forgive me if I seem obtuse, but are you a hybrid as well?"

What an astoundingly classless act.

November 10, 2011 | [Regan Lee](#)

Really -- talk about being bought in for a sell-out! I suspect Woods is likely not a hybrid, but MUFON's Murillo may be a moron. This is more illustration on why MUFON has lost all relevance.

November 11, 2011 | [Lehmberg](#)

Oh wait! I get it now. MUFON's Murillo is doing a Joe Paterno impersonation! Nailed it!

November 11, 2011 | [Lehmberg](#)

Is there no public relation nightmare they can't avoid at MUFON? State, National or even International levels are all stuck on dumb. They have no interest nor will they ever in keeping their nose clean of nonsense. In fact, I have serious questions as to why they even would back such obvious threats to individuals as David Jacobs poses to... well ANYONE in the public.

Great catch Jeremy. I know this may flip your lid but this is something we can both get behind.

Another awesome nugget is where the church couldn't care less who speaks, would love to see if Winter Laake could speak at the church sometime. Somehow I think they would start caring.

November 11, 2011 | [Chip - MindCemetery](#)

Really? No comment from Jacobs "buoys"? Is this the universe where a redundantly performed, recorded, and even **admitted** malfeasance--of an especially fulsome sociopathy--is just *fine* and business as *usual*? Really? Be forewarned you'll be burning me at the stake, then. See I'm of the opinion that this is just not that universe! No regrets here!

November 13, 2011 | [Lehmberg](#)

Re:video. Very funny!

November 13, 2011 | [Regan Lee](#)

First, the David Jacobs image is anti-semitic. The exaggerated nose! Classy job - not!!

Now for your latest screed defending the person who hides behind the fake name "Emma Woods" by you latest attack on David Jacobs. It is not your concern where her former abduction researcher speaks! You are attacking

MUFON and even attacking the church where the event will take place! WhoTF do you think you are? People who do a search on Jeremy Vaeni can come up with loads of your personal insanity online!

You are stalking Jacobs and bullying anyone who gives him a podium. You are doing what "Emma Woods" has been doing and playing right into her hands! No one has the right to shut up Jacobs freedom of speech rights under our constitution. And if "Emma Woods" signed a release form or something similar, he can use what experiences she has shared with him in their 3 years of working together.

And that brings me to "Emma Woods" website and selected audio files. Who in their right mind would have an on going relationship including hypnosis on the phone and computer for 3 years and then begin to complain about it as a "victim"? I listened to "Emma Woods" edited tapes and I hear two people that clearly dig each other!! She giggles and flirts over alot of what Jacobs says!! And do not tell me she was a victim always under hypnosis! These two had a longterm online and phone relationship. And the third person involved, "Elizabeth" ,threw a monkey wrench into it! That began "Emma Woods" discontent with Jacobs!!

And I cannot believe that there were or are no alien abduction researchers in New Zealand so that "Emma Woods" therapist referred her to researchers in America! There are ufo researchers in New Zealand who could have worked with her! Abduction research begins somewhere.

"Emma Woods" admits to being disabled, unemployed, unmarried and 40-something. She's got plenty of time on her hands to obsessively hit ufo websites defaming Jacobs like a ditched girlfriend! And who doesn't notice her own sexual obsession on her website with her ovulations and periods?! WTF?? Is that normal to share and expect to be taken seriously?? I don't think so!! Then she admits to sending IMs to Jacobs while she's sleep walking and shows videos of herself sleep walking. What normal person puts all this up online for the world to see?? All of her sexual bodily functions and her documentation of them should of been between her and her therapist and her medical doctor!! She has been screaming for attention!

And "Emma Woods" admits to contacting Budd Hopkins first and then David Jacobs. She sent Hopkins loads of materials which indicates she was highly motivated to work with the most famous abduction researcher. Before his death Hopkins made it very clear she sent him reams of papers all about herself, obsessively and inappropriately contacting him, even after she was working with Jacobs. Hopkins didn't have to bother to do this when he was fighting terminal cancer! This obviously was very important to him! "Emma Woods" therapist should have treated her instead of referring her to alien abduction researchers outside their country! He did not help her mental health but obviously made it worse! Didn't her therapist know she would be undergoing hypnosis and did she not tell him about it? This went on at least 3 years! Given her mental health issues her therapist should have warned her against hypnosis!

And if anyone cannot see how much help "Emma Woods" needs by reading her website and listening to her audio clips, which aren't even the total clips between the two of them, you are deluded as she is! This was an online and phone longterm personal relationship that went bad! Jacobs still had his wife, children and tenure but what does "Emma Woods" have? Exactly what she began her 3 years with Jacobs when she begged him to take her on as a research subject -Nothing! She's still disabled, unemployed, unmarried and middle aged. Life passed her by!

November 13, 2011 | [kiwichomper](#)

Lotta exclamation marks in that one. And question marks. It's like a punctuation duel.

November 13, 2011 | [Jeremy Vaeni](#)

Hi kiwichomper,

I assume that the name that you are using -- "kiwichomper" -- is related to your statement implying that I am a New Zealander, as New Zealanders are known as "Kiwis". It is rather an aggressive name to use. I take it that it is indicative of your emotional response to my making Dr. Jacobs' misconduct as a researcher public.

“the person who hides behind the fake name “Emma Woods””

Like many experiencers, I use a pseudonym in public to protect myself and my family from the stigma of the subject area. Dr. Jacobs himself uses pseudonyms for all his research subjects in his own books. In my opinion, your comments indicate that you do not grasp the ethics of confidentiality in sensitive research. You might like to read some of the laws and policies regarding the protection of human research subjects, which address these issues.

“It is not your concern where her former abduction researcher speaks! You are attacking MUFON and even attacking the church where the event will take place! WhoTF do you think you are?”

Dr. Jacobs has engaged in systematic abuse of his position as a researcher. This included him implanting hypnotic suggestions in my mind that I had a serious mental disorder, which he has publicly admitted doing. What is worse, he has tried to defend it. He has harmed people, and is still in a position to harm people. It is right to speak about such abuse, and to question an organization which promotes him in a way that can attract other people who he can harm in a similar manner.

“I listened to “Emma Woods” edited tapes and I hear two people that clearly dig each other!! She giggles and flirts over alot of what Jacobs says!! And do not tell me she was a victim always under hypnosis! These two had a longterm online and phone relationship. And the third person involved, “Elizabeth” ,threw a monkey wrench into it! That began “Emma Woods” discontent with Jacobs!!”

This is not the case. I initially respected Dr. Jacobs as he presented himself to me as an expert in the area. I have never had any romantic interest in him whatsoever. As I began to see that there were serious problems with his work, I felt sorry for him. However, I still tried to come to a harmonious solution with him, as I do not like conflict. It was only after he began to defame me in order to try to cover up what he had done, and after I listened to the recordings of the hypnosis sessions and heard what he had done to me under hypnosis, that I made it widely known as a matter of public interest.

I trusted Elizabeth and saw her as a friend. Realizing that she was a hoaxer was distressing because I had trusted her. However, I now see her as a victim of Dr. Jacobs’ abusive hypnotic practices as well. I think that she has nowhere to turn to for help, and I hope that she has support in her life to assist her with what was done to her.

“And I cannot believe that there were or are no alien abduction researchers in New Zealand so that “Emma Woods” therapist referred her to researchers in America!”

My therapist referred me to Dr. Jacobs because he presented himself as an expert in the area doing scholarly academic research under Temple University.

“Emma Woods” admits to being disabled, unemployed, unmarried and 40-something.”

I do not see what being disabled, divorced, or anything else has to do with it. What is at stake here is the protection of research subjects from a researcher who has systematically abused his position with people in the vulnerable hypnotic state.

“And who doesn't notice her own sexual obsession on her website with her ovulations and periods?! WTF?? Is that normal to share and expect to be taken seriously?? I don't think so!!”

It appears that you either did not read, or did not understand, the material on my website about this. In fact, it was a one year project in which I recorded my ovulation cycles and took pregnancy tests to correlate with my experiences. Dr. Jacobs himself discussed doing this with me, and he sent me the pregnancy tests from American to use. He published this material on his own website in 2006. I understand that other experiencers have also recorded similar data to correlate with their experiences.

“Then she admits to sending IMs to Jacobs while she's sleep walking and shows videos of herself sleep walking.”

My sleep disorder was a central issue in what occurred during my work with Dr. Jacobs, and it is entirely appropriate for me to post material related to this. I

did not send IMs to Dr. Jacobs. I sent emails to my former therapist, Dr. Jacobs and Elizabeth.

Budd Hopkins lied about the way that I contacted him and Dr. Jacobs. Dr. Jacobs told the same lie in the original version of his defamatory statement about me on his website. However, after I responded to it publicly. Dr. Jacobs changed his story. He now has a new, and mutually exclusive, version of it on his website. That alone shows that Mr. Hopkins lied. I find it sad that Mr. Hopkins chose to do this, to try to cover for his friend, instead of being a real friend and addressing the issues at stake.

I hope that one day you put your friendship with Dr. Jacobs aside, and look more objectively at this situation, and see the ethical issues involved.

Emma

November 13, 2011 | [Emma](#)

"...when she begged him to take her on as a research subject "

I did not beg Dr. Jacobs to take me on as a research subject. He asked me to become his research subject.

November 13, 2011 | [Emma](#)

"Kiwichomper..." Really? Is that a threat?

"Antisemitic"? Really? Especially given you had to opine "Why." You went right for the "nose," eh? I take issue with that because there is Antisemitism in the world and *deflecting* accusations of same tend to devalue that egregious reality. You should be ashamed. See, I drew a "psychopath" but you saw a "Jew." This begs the question who the anti-Semite really is, I suspect.

Remaining is the situation at hand. Why is it forgivable and acceptable that Jacobs suggest to a trusting woman in his charge, a woman legitimately expecting "best practice" from a world class researcher suggested to her by an accredited mental health professional... that she has, to wit: **a dangerous mental dysfunction requiring drugs and medical attention...** when, in my understanding, he admittedly and on record *knew* that she was not so affected? We can go no further on the subject of Jacobs' efficacy until we address that. You seem reluctant, so I suspect the lampooning and outraged criticism of Jacobs must continue.

Again, all protestations from the Jacobs camp about "doctored tapes" taken out of context and "predatory stalkers" with "personality disorders" are seemingly not borne out in any way, but the facts are admitted by Jacobs even as they were wholly whitewashed by Mr. Hopkins before his death.

Your anxious, incomplete, and deflecting support of Dr. Jacobs is not in his interest because it *is* anxious, incomplete, and deflecting, see? Moreover, it is not in the best interests of the "research" or the ethical treatment of paranormal research subjects. Next!

November 14, 2011 | [Lehmborg](#)

...And I have to add: Dr Jacobs threw Emma Woods under a psychological bus either because he felt threatened by his conjectured "hybrids," himself, or that Ms. Woods made it clear she was not going to go along with the conclusions he'd come to regarding an upcoming book Jacobs had produced. Well, the former suggests abject cowardice as revolting as it is enraging and the latter suggests the sociopathy already alluded to. One wonders, in my opinion, how Dr. Jacobs can be defended at all if he is a sniveling coward in the first place, or a self-described "monster who walks among men," in the second.

I loved David Jacobs. I sailed into Vaeni's expose fully expecting to vindicate Jacobs of all charges at least to myself, eh? The handwriting on the wall was handwriting on the wall, however. See, the truth was non-dismiss-able and must be taken for such... unless, of course, the lie is preferable. Then, it doesn't matter *what* the truth is, eh?

November 14, 2011 | [Lehmborg](#)

Riddle me this kiwichomper:

If "Dr." Jacobs is so right in what he has done then why has he hidden behind a monitor for so long and only now decides to come out and speak to friendly audiences after his "retirement" from Temple?

So, as long as someone is labled disabled, crazy, unemployed, divorced, unmarried it's perfectly OK to destroy them by any means possible but only after you failed to brainwash them?

Words can't begin to discribe the level of outrage that is felt when you see people condone the actions of "dr" david jacobs. But, you can be sure that this will get some attention tonight on my show, my show twitter and facebook accounts. Never let this man rest his head on the bed of lies he has created and the hell with any organization that gives this man a forum.

November 14, 2011 | [CHip - MindCemetery](#)

Speaking only for myself here:

Kiwichomper: I find the anti-Semitic slam funny. I'm quite sensitive to that, being Jewish, believe me. People often think I'm reacting to something that isn't there when I point out what seems obvious to me in the context of both overt and covert anti-Semitism. In this case, I didn't think that at all. Never occurred to me.

"It is not your concern where her former abduction researcher speaks!" Well, it's not your concern if it's my or anyone else's concern. Seriously. For myself, as someone who thinks Jacobs actions are, were, deplorable, and he hasn't been held accountable by the UFO community to any great degree, I have every right to say what I think about that. MUFON is a national, "esteemed" UFO organization. What, you're saying that if you don't agree with those who disagree with you, we should all just shut up? Nope.

I personally don't think anyone should be censored. Yes, Jacobs has the right to speak. But I have the right to boycott his appearances and urge others to do the same. If they choose not, then at least explore the issue for themselves. Hopefully these actions will not only hold him accountable, but -- and this important -- get researchers and witnesses to be open and participate in the dynamic between witness, event, and researcher.

Look, it gets down to, in my opinion, this: Jacobs went over the edge. He is the one with the "power," he is the leader, as it were, in the process. He's the "authority" and he should know better. You don't plant the suggestion she has MPD, you don't ask for her underwear, and you don't seriously go undercover in a laughable (if it weren't so sordid) surreal scene involving fake emails from aliens and suggesting that hybrids are hacking your system.

November 14, 2011 | [Regan Lee](#)

But you DO offer to buy her a chastity belt with nails at the vaginal opening to keep out the aliens. Oh, wait: no sane, credible researcher would EVER do that. Mmmm... come to think of it, probably neither would a boyfriend. I mean--who does that, Regan? Under what circumstance is ANY of this okay?

This is what these people are defending. They are defending sickness.

November 14, 2011 | [Jeremy Vaeni](#)

By the way, I'm asking you because I refuse to talk to clearly unbalanced people anymore. They've had enough explanations at this point so why waste my breath?

But, as a woman, if you thought you were having the types of rape trauma experiences Emma was made to believe she was having, would you find the panties/chastity belt sexual requests appropriate even from a boyfriend or husband? Even jokingly?

November 14, 2011 | [Jeremy Vaeni](#)

I want to give the "defender" every break. They are a long way down their personal roads with Jacobs and a Jacobs tainted Hopkins. They are loath to backtrack on feelings felt and work thought done... but comes a time, dear heart, when you'll have wished you'd turned around, you know, back when there was room to do it, and even disheartened, disappointed, and in cognitive disarray you see the road ahead turning to mud, precipice, and ambush. To quote Mr. Mackey on *Southpark*... "He's bad... OK?"

November 14, 2011 | [Alfred Lehmborg](#)

I'm with Jeremy -- like skeptics, who I refuse to play with on any kind of open even field (I just bash 'em as I please when the mood strikes) it's no use

explaining it to those that insist on defending Jacobs in a direct manner. They remain woefully stubborn and pitifully ignorant of the point.

November 14, 2011 | [Regan Lee](#)

Wow you all stick to the same topics and never move forward in your evolution...do you? David Jacobs saying the same old stuff....Declaring how he knows what's happening,,, What an A.....ss!

November 15, 2011 | [Joy S Gilbert](#)

How can one have any respect for a man who threw a woman under a bus for his own convenience or cowardice? I'm reminded of Martin Sheen in the Christopher Walken version of *The Dead Zone* from 1983. Martin Sheen plays a psychopathic Presidential hopeful who, when a gunman opens fire on him in a church, snatches up a small child from the audience as a human shield. He'd have got away with it, but they had him on tape... They had him on TAPE!

November 15, 2011 | [Lehmborg](#)

Hi kiwichomper,

You said:

"I listened to "Emma Woods" edited tapes and I hear two people that clearly dig each other!! She giggles and flirts over alot of what Jacobs says!!"

That never happened.

No one has ever said that before, and I have asked the person who transcribed the tapes for me whether it sounded like that to him, and he said absolutely not. So it does not even sound like it to other people.

Could you provide details of the tapes where you believe it occurred, and the numbers of the relevant sections?

If not, I ask that you think a bit more about saying something like that when it involves Dr. Jacobs' abuse of his power over me when I was in the vulnerable hypnotic state.

Emma

November 15, 2011 | [Emma](#)

You see "kiwichump" [cough Steinberg cough], what a churlish psychopath you are? You manufacture a *malicious* malfeasance out of dirty sack cloth to celebrate the meanest, most discursive, divisive and damaging derision imaginable, and you fill that diseased bagpipe with wind you would have the reader take as "right" and "true." Some would call that "evil"

Though, just like the yellowest of yellow journalists of the past, the most casual examination of your toxic conjecture shows it to be just exactly what it is: a clueless deflection as canted with bias as it is prejudiced by errant cant and a propaganda-like reportage which ignores all *fact* to propound its senseless antithesis. The facts, imo? ...As they are evidenced, reported, and even *admitted* by the *very* reasonably accused?

Emma Woods is a sane and rational woman seeking help with a "problem." She had every reasonable expectation of professional and "best practice" behavior from a man, one David Jacobs, who proceeded, it would seem, to manipulate and control, via the dodgiest of hypnotic instrumentations, her very psychology and self-image for his own ends. He would then go on to ultimately humiliate and betray that trust imo, by (ONE) hiding behind an unearned world-class reputation (dismissed by Whitley Strieber), (TWO) by employing a blithe professional incompetence (he's a history teacher sans hypnotic credential), and (THREE) by allowing a legion of ostensibly good persons--like you "kiwichump" [cough Steinberg cough]--who tout the party-line, a party-line bereft all sense and sensibility! Finally, Jacobs threw Woods into the engine nacelle of a taxiing C-17 when he, out of convenience or cowardice or both, tried to convince her in a highly suggestible induced state that Woods had a serious mental disorder requiring drugs and doctors... a disorder he *admits* he knew... She. Did. Not. Have.

So you see, "Kiwichump" [cough Steinberg cough] a defense and rehabilitation of David Jacobs seems impossible until *these points* are addressed satisfactorily. You don't address them, you only exacerbate them. Step *off*, ...or get stepped *on*. Anxious liars only piss me off.

November 16, 2011 | [Lehmberg](#)

LA MUFON... Steve Murillo... Is this the same bunch who tried to rip-off Frank Feschino a few years ago when they, and **contractually precluded from same**, made a digital recording of Feschino's presentation and copywrit DVD at one year's conference, and then proceeded to sell it unethically and illegally in their online store? Ohhhhhh... I get it now. Correct me if I'm wrong...

Wow, I just checked their online store... and I believe they are still selling it, too: <http://www.mufonla.com/videoorder.htm>

MUFON just **hemorrhages** consistency and relevancy, eh?

November 18, 2011 | [Lehmberg](#)

YOU MUST BE A HYBRID AND THE GIRL IS CONTROLLED BY ALIENS, DAVID JACOBS IS THE MAN AND YOU ARE JUST A BITCH !

loooooo !!!!

November 29, 2011 | [JOHN](#)

Page | [1](#) | [2](#) | [Next 25 Comments](#) | [Most Recent Comment](#) »

COPYRIGHT © 2004-2011 UFO GLOBAL MEDIA INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.